

Terms reviewing scientific articles submitted for publication in the journal "Vestnik of the Rostov State University of Economics (RINH)"

All research articles, received by the editorial board of journal, should be in the process of independent review. The review could be represented as a process of defined interrelated actions which form an organizational and management model technology.

1. The copy of articles could be received by the editorial board of journal "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH)" by email or in printed form. The copy of articles is considered an Executive Secretary for the following points: compliance with the profile of the journal, the presence of borrowed information (in the system "Antiplagiat RINH", including the major citation databases), the compliance of the content of the articles to its title and the requirements for registration. If the copy of the article suits to the following terms, the article would be registered.

2. The Executive Secretary of the journal sends the copy for review to one or, if necessary, more reviewers. The editorial board attracts as members of the editorial Board and external experts as reviewers, who have the scientific degree of doctor and candidate of Sciences, or practical experience in the relevant field. Copies of reviews, or the motivated refusal should be sent to the authors of the articles. Abstracts of articles, keywords, information about the authors are freely available on the Internet in Russian and English languages, full-text versions of articles freely available on the journal's website.

3. In the mutual anonymous peer review as a reviewer can be involved members of the editorial Board of "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH), and highly qualified scientists and specialists of other organizations and businesses, with a deep professional knowledge and experience in a specific scientific area.

4. Reviewers are informed that the copies of the articles are the intellectual property of the authors in accordance with the law. The information data could not be disclosed. The procedure of reviewing in the journal is anonymous and confidential.

5. The reviews are certified in the order prescribed in the "Rostov state economic University (RINH)". The copies of reviews received by the editorial board would be sent to the authors of the articles. The originals of the reviews are stored in the editorial board within three years from the date of publication of the articles and could be submitted upon request.

6. The article can be sent to the author for revision by e-mail, Fax or regular mail, in that case, if the review indicates the need for corrections. In such a case the date of receiving would be the date of return of the revised scientific articles by the author.

7. The scientific article, sent to the author for corrections, should be sent back to the editor corrected within a month. The explanatory letter from the authors containing responses to all comments and corrections that were made should be attached to the revised copy of the article.

8. If the article is on the recommendation of the reviewer has undergone substantial revision, it is again sent to the reviewer who made critical comments or other scientist, if necessary.

9. The editorial board of the journal "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH) reserves the right to reject the articles in the first place because of the required originality of the author's text or in the event of the inability or unwillingness of the author to consider the opinion and recommendations of the editorial board.

10. In the case of the discrepancy to requirements of originality of the author's text, negative reviews of the copy of the article from two individuals or one review on its updated revised version, the article is returned to the author without review by other members of the editorial board of the journal "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH)".

11. In the case of conceptual disagreement with the reviewer's opinion the author of the article has the right to provide a detailed reasoned response to the journal, whereby the article may be directed to re-review or discussion by the editorial board. In such case of dispute, the decision is made by the Editor-in-Chief, and if necessary, a relative majority of the members of the editorial board.

12. Within the review the Executive Secretary of the journal "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH)" informs the author of the reasoned response. The maximum duration of the review process of scientific articles is 2 month between the date of receipt of the copy to the editor and the date of editorial decision making. It should be noted that the period of stay of the article in the editorial board, review is determined in each case by taking into account the creation of conditions for rapid publication of articles in the next issue of the journal.

13. The review must be submitted in the Highest Certifying Commission and/or the Ministry of education and science of the Russian Federation at the request of the Ministry. In case of refusal of sending to the review of copy of the article , the editorial board should be sent to the author a reasoned response. Reviews are stored in the publishing and editorial office for 5 years.

14. The presence of a positive review is not formally sufficient justification for its publication as a final decision on the possibility of highbrow publication of research materials, accepted in order of submission to the editorial Board of the journal "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH), and accepted by the editorial Board, which is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the editorial Board.

Methodological rules to the review of the scientific article submitted for publication in the journal "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH)"

The goal of the review is to promote a strict selection of scientific materials for compliance to broadcast in a wide Russian and English speaking audience of researchers, teachers, graduate students, doctoral students and students of

universities and business schools; to form the desire to improve the professional level of Russian economists, managers, analysts, logisticians, marketing specialists and traders, financiers, management consultants for the integration of domestic business; stimulate the growth in the importance and promotion of the image of scientific schools of the Rostov state economic University (RINH) in Economics and related areas, contributing to a strict selection of authors of manuscripts for the journal and to offer specific recommendations for improvement.

The review of the scientific article should contain an objective analysis; to assess and contain a comprehensive review of its scientific and methodological advantages and shortcomings, this review should be presented in text format doc, up to 15 thousand printed characters, approximately 1.5 pages of A4 size 14.

Requirements for the content review of the scientific article submitted for publication in the journal "Vestnik of Rostov state economic University (RINH)"

The reviewer should:

1. to determine compliance with the material presented in the article, the journal, science branches and groups of scientific specialties (08.00.05 - Economics) for which the journal is included in the List, according to the Nomenclature of scientific specialties.

2. to analyze the relevance of the content of the article, determining whether the level presented by the author of modern material science and technology.

3. to characterize the theoretical and methodological significance of research results (scientific, practical), the adequacy and availability to the broader public from the point of view of language, style, structure, clarity, illustrative material: tables, charts, figures and formulas.

4. to imagine how satisfied the requirements of article material: compliance with the originality of the author's text, article volume, the presence of annotations, bibliography, and references to it in the text in Russian and English languages, availability, contact information about the authors, etc.

5. to determine qualitative and/or quantitative evaluation of scientific material:

- actual;
- illustrative.

6. to characterize the completeness and accuracy provided by the author of the information, the appropriateness and relevance of their publication, taking into account fundamental and applied theoretical and methodological base of previously published studies.

7. to analyze the precision used (or input) the definitions and wording.

8. to evaluate the author's literary style of the article.

9. to represent valid and reasonable conclusions about the feasibility of publishing a scientific article in whole, the comments, if any - recommendations for its improvement.

It should be noted that the complex of these requirements and criteria is typical, general features. Each article requires an individual approach.

In the final part of the review of the scientific article on the results of the analysis of presented article should be given a clear recommendation for its publication in the present form, or the need for adjustments or reprocessing (according to certain expert analysis of constructive comments).